

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the  
Securities Exchange Act of 1934  
(Amendment No. )

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

**Check the appropriate box:**

- Preliminary Proxy Statement  
 Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(c)(2))  
 Definitive Proxy Statement  
 Definitive Additional Materials  
 Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

**Mueller Industries, Inc.**

*(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)*

*(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)*

**Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):**

- No fee required.**  
 **Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.**
- (1) Title of each class of securities to which the transaction applies:
  - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which the transaction applies:
  - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of the transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
  - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of the transaction:
  - (5) Total fee paid:

- Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.**  
 **Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.**

- (1) Amount Previously Paid:
- (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
- (3) Filing Party:
- (4) Date Filed:

Beginning on April 18, 2017, Mueller Industries, Inc. (“Mueller” or the “Company”) made the following letter available to shareholders:



April 18, 2017

Dear Shareholder,

We write on behalf of the Board of Directors (the “Board”) of Mueller Industries, Inc. (“the Company” or “Mueller”), and to ask for your support by voting in accordance with the Board’s recommendations on all proposals at our 2017 Annual Meeting on May 4, 2017.

In particular, as you are aware, the Company conducts an annual advisory vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers (the “say-on-pay proposal”). ***In recent years, our shareholders have shown strong support for our annual say-on-pay proposals, with approval rates of over 97% in 2016, 94% in 2015 and 94% in 2014.***

In 2016, our Compensation and Stock Option Committee took meaningful steps to further *improve* our compensation practices by introducing, for the first time, a performance-based requirement for vesting of a portion of the long-term equity awards granted to our key executives. With the addition of this requirement, these awards will not vest in favor of these executives unless specified growth rates in earnings per share or total shareholder return are achieved over the next five-year period.

We were pleased to learn that the proxy advisory firm Glass Lewis & Co. (“Glass Lewis”) took notice of this change, and citing Mueller’s ***“track record of aligning pay with performance,”*** has again recommended that its clients vote in favor of our say-on-pay proposal. However, it has also come to our attention that another such firm, Institutional Shareholder Services (“ISS”) has recommended that shareholders oppose our say-on-pay proposal, a position with which we respectfully disagree.

ISS’s position — a reversal from the stance it has taken in recent years — is disappointing, and in our view, fails to appropriately credit the recent improvements mentioned above. It is also surprising given that in November 2016, ISS published an improved “QualityScore” for Mueller in the area of compensation.

In opposing Mueller’s say-on-pay proposal, ISS focuses primarily on the modified single trigger provision that has been in Mr. Christopher’s employment agreement since it was first negotiated in October 2008, a provision ISS believes should be eliminated. While we respect ISS’s views, the Company’s independent directors do not believe that the amendment sought by ISS is in the best interests of the Company or its shareholders at this time.

The Board’s independent directors have tremendous confidence in Mr. Christopher, and believe he possesses the knowledge, experience and relationships with the Company’s customer base that are necessary to lead Mueller at this critical time. Notably, during his nearly nine years as Chief Executive Officer, the Company has achieved a compounded growth rate in total shareholder return of approximately **19%**. The Company’s independent directors believe that Mr. Christopher’s current employment agreement reflects a fair and balanced approach, and promotes the Company’s strong interest in retaining a leader of his skills and proven track record.

Thank you for your time and consideration during this busy proxy season. We appreciate the opportunity to shed further light on our compensation program and why we believe a vote in favor of our say-on-pay proposal is again warranted this year. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to reach out to us at (901) 753-3200.

Gary S. Gladstein  
*Lead Independent Director*

Gennaro J. Fulvio  
*Chairman, Compensation & Stock Option Committee*